| | ė | | IPE | | R | E/1751 | |
|---|--|---|---|------------|--|--------|--|
| | | • | ,098 | Applic | cation Number: 09/600,134 | | |
| | | PEOI | FEB 1 1 2002 W | Filing | Date: September 11, 2000 | | |
| CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) | | | | | First Named Inventor: Gerard Lang | | |
| TRANSMITTAL RADEMAN | | | | Group | Art Unit: 1751 | 7 | |
| Subsection (b) of 35 U.S.C. § 132, effective on May 29, 2000 provides for continued examination of a utility or plant application | | | | | Examiner: Eisa B. Elhilo | | |
| filed on or after June 8, 1995. See The American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) | | | | Attorn | ney Docket Number: 05725.0654-00000 | | |
| | | | | Attori | ney Customer Number: 22,852 | 221 | |
| This is a | Reque | st for Continued | Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 | 4 of the a | above-identified application. | | |
| <u>Note</u> : | 37 C cont "Cha | F.R. § 1.114 is e inued prosecution inges to Application | effective on May 29, 2000. If the above-identific | ed applica | ation was filed prior to May 29, 2000, applicant may wish to consider filing a f a RCE to be eligible for patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA. See nterim Rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865 (March 20, 2000). Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47 (A | April | |
| 1. Su | Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114: | | | | | | |
| a. | a. 🔲 Previously submitted | | | | | | |
| | i. | | Consider the amendment(s)/reply | after fi | nal under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on [Date] | | |
| | ii. | | Consider the arguments in the Ap | peal Br | rief of Reply Brief previously filed on [Date] | | |
| | iii. | | Other | | | | |
| b. | \boxtimes | Enclosed: | | | | | |
| | i. | | Amendment/Reply | | | | |
| | ii. | | Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s) | | | i | |
| | iii. | | Information Disclosure Statement | | | | |
| | iv. | | Other | | <u> </u> | | |
| 2. Mi | scella | ineous | | | | | |
| a. | | Suspension of action on the above-mentioned application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of | | | | | |





22852
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

#15 1707 2-21-02

Attorney Docket No. 5725.0654-00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Gerard LANG et al.

Application No.: 09/600,134

Filed: September 11, 2000

For: KERATINOUS FIBRE OXIDATION

DYEING COMPOSITION

CONTAINING A LACCASE AND DYEING METHOD USING SAME

BOX RCE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Group Art Unit: 1751

Examiner: Eisa B. Elhilo

SUBMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114

In reply to the final Office Action mailed on September 11, 2001, Applicants file herewith a Request for Continued Examination and submit the following new arguments in accordance with the submission requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. By virtue of the concurrently-filed Petition for a Two-Month Extension of Time and appropriate fee, this Request for Continued Examination and Amendment and Request for Reconsideration are due by February 11, 2002, and are timely filed.

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT& DUNNER LLP

1300 | Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com